



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/560,006                            | 04/27/2000                    | John Raymond Nicol   | GTM-01602               | 2711            |
| 32127 75                              | 590 02/02/2004                |                      | EXAM                    | INER            |
| VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC. |                               |                      | NGUYEN, MAIKHANH        |                 |
| C/O CHRISTIA<br>600 HIDDEN R          | AN R. ANDERSEN<br>RIDGE DRIVE |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| MAILCODE H                            | QEO3H14                       |                      | 2176                    | 16              |
| IRVING, TX 75038                      |                               |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.       | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.       | 1                            |  |  |  |
| Office Action Commons   | 09/560,006            | NICOL ET AL.                 |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner              | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |
|   | Maikhanh Nguyen       | 2176                         |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 April 2000.   |                       |                              |  |  |  |
|   | action is non-final.  |                              |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-28,30-49 and 51-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-2, 4-28, 30-49 and 51-54 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| 12)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   |                       |                              |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summan   | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)      |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal | Patent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)  Office A  | ction Summary         | Part of Paper No. 16         |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2176

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment B filed 10/31/2003 to the original application filed 04/27/2000 (which is a continuation in part of 09/302,874 filed 04/1999).
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-28, 30-49, and 51-54 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 17, 25, 27, 43, 51, and 53 have been amended by Applicant. Claims 1, 17, 25, 27, 43, 51 and 53 are independent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 4-28, 30-49 and 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Contois** (U.S. 5,864,868 – filed 02/1996) in view of **Arons et al.** (U.S. 6529,920 – filed 03/05/1999) and further in view of **Flickner et al.** (U.S. 6,577,329 – filed 02/1999).

As to independent claim 25, Contois teaches a method executed in a computer system (a computer interface; col.4, lines 37-61) for selecting a multimedia presentation (providing a user

Art Unit: 2176

access to media pieces stored in a media database ... display only music that relates to a selected category; col.4, lines 37-61) comprising:

- providing a plurality of multimedia presentations in accordance with predetermined criteria (Fig. 8);
- presenting the one or more multimedia data items using a browser to select a multimedia presentation (once a song title is selected to be played upon the player piano, the graphic window will display a picture of something associated with the selected piece of music ... view a complete list of all of the movie categories ... allow the user to find a movie video for viewing; col. 12, lines 13-67);
- controlling direction of the presenting of the one or more multimedia data items viewed (four media playing device control buttons ...play button ...rewind button ...pause button ...stop button; col.10, line 66 col.11, line 29); and
- selecting a first of the one or more multimedia data items associated with the multimedia presentation (highlights the selected item on the display; col.10, lines 7-20 / selecting either single or multiple items; col.12, lines 13-67).

Contois, however, does not explicitly teach "controlling speed."

Arons teaches controlling speed (speed control...the speed of playback can be increased or decreased interactively with the playback speed control while playing or when playback is stopped. The speed can be increased up to at least 2.5 times the original and slowed down to at least 0.5 times the original; col.14, lines 1-40).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Arons with Contois because it would have

Art Unit: 2176

provided the capability for users to change the speed of the multimedia items when they are viewing them.

The combination of Contois and Arons is silent on "each of the one or more multimedia data items being a duplicate of a portion of a corresponding one of the subset of multimedia presentations."

Flickner teaches each of the one or more multimedia data items being a duplicate of a portion of a corresponding one of the subset of multimedia presentations (presentation ... many of the items ... are duplicates; col. 10, lines 66- col. 11, line 11).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Flickner's teachings in the system of Contois as modified by Arons because it would have provided the capability for making user(s) happier since the user is presented with mainly interested (or related) items.

As to dependent claims 26, Contois teaches transferring control to machine executable code associated with a first of the subset of multimedia presentations having the first multimedia data item as an index (col.13, lines 42-50).

Independent claim 1, the rejection of independent claim 25 above is incorporated herein in full. However, claim 1 further recites "transferring control to machine executable code associated with a first of the plurality of multimedia presentations corresponding to the first multimedia data item.

Contois teaches transferring control to machine executable code associated with a first of the subset of multimedia presentations having the first multimedia data item *(the application of the applicatio* 

Art Unit: 2176

the software to control ... software interface could be used ... to select what media to be played from a vast media data base; col. 13, lines 42-50).

As to dependent claim 2, Contois teaches presenting the first multimedia presentation by executing the machine executable code (the application of the software to control ... software interface could be used ... to select what media to be played from a vast media data base; col. 13, lines 42-50).

As to dependent claim 4, Contois teaches the first multimedia presentation is a video for video-on-demand selection (col. 12, lines 38-67).

As to dependent claim 5, Contois teaches the first multimedia presentation is a speaker presentation using a plurality of media streams and a first of the plurality of media streams includes the first multimedia data item (Fig. 6).

As to dependent claim 6, Contois teaches the first multimedia data item is a miniature viewgraph indexing into other media streams that include an audio file, an image file of speaker notes, and a video file of a speaker giving a presentation (Fig. 6).

As to dependent claim 7, Contois teaches the viewing one or more multimedia data items includes: presenting a hierarchical description of the information in an outline area; and presenting the one or more multimedia data items in a presentation area, the hierarchical description being synchronized with a first temporal arrangement of the one or more multimedia data items in the presentation area (Figs 2-4).

As to dependent claim 8, Contois teaches the multimedia data items are presented in the presentation area using a stacking technique in which successive multimedia data items are presented slightly overlapping one or more previously presented multimedia data items, and the

Art Unit: 2176

method further includes: controlling speed and direction of the one or more multimedia data items presented by stacking and unstacking successively presented multimedia data items in the presentation area (Figs. 2-4).

As to dependent claim 9, Contois teaches the multimedia data items are presented in the presentation area using a scrolling technique in which successive images are presented along a two-dimensional axis, and the method further includes presented by controlling the direction and speed at which the one or more multimedia data items are presented along the two-dimensional axis (col. 12, lines 23-49).

As to dependent claim 10, Contois teaches the multimedia data items are presented in the presentation area using a three-dimensional presentation technique in which the multimedia data items are presented with a three-dimensional perspective with regard to a display viewpoint at a particular time (col.12, lines 13-59).

As to dependent claim 11, Contois teaches some of the multimedia data items includes a group of one or more images having a parent-child relationship in which there is one parent multimedia data item and one or more child multimedia data items, and the method further includes: dividing the presentation area into four quadrants; presenting the parent multimedia data item near a center of the presentation area; and presenting subsequent child multimedia data items beginning in an upper left quadrant and proceeding to present successive multimedia data items on a clockwise rotation in successive quadrants (*Figs. 2-4*).

As to dependent claim 12, Contois teaches each of the four quadrants is further divided into four subquadrants, each of the four quadrants having an outermost subquadrant corresponding to an outermost corner of the quadrant with respect to the presentation area, each

Art Unit: 2176

of the child multimedia data items being presented in an outermost subquadrant associated with a quadrant, an outer corner of the each child multimedia data item being randomly located within the outermost subquadrant (Figs. 2-4).

As to dependent claim 13, Contois teaches each of the multimedia presentations includes at least two media streams, a first media stream being used and index into the second media stream, wherein the first and second media streams are different (Figs. 2-4).

As to dependent claim 14, Contois teaches the second media stream is an audio stream and the first media stream is an image-based medium (Fig. 6).

As to dependent claim 15, Contois teaches each of the first and second media streams are the same (Fig. 6).

As to dependent claim 16, Contois teaches producing a database of indices, each of the indices being a multimedia data item (col.9, lines 21-51).

**Independent claims 17 & 43**, the rejection of independent claim 25 above is incorporated herein in full. However, claims 17& 43 further recite:

- providing a multimedia presentation having a first media stream and second media stream;
  - storing information about the one or more multimedia items in a database; and
- invoking a software program for presenting the multimedia presentation associated with the first multimedia data item.

Contois teaches:

- providing a multimedia presentation having a first media stream and second media stream (Figs. 2-3);

Art Unit: 2176

- storing information about the one or more indices in a database(information that is stored in a database; col.9, lines 7-29); and

- invoking a software program for presenting the multimedia presentation associated with the first multimedia data item (software interface ...media device where a user need to select what media item; col.13, lines 42-50 & Figs. 7-8).

As to dependent claim 18, Contois teaches the software program is a video on demand application, the first multimedia object is a video key frame, and the multimedia presentation includes a video media stream and an audio media stream (col.13, lines 51-57).

As to dependent claim 19, Contois teaches the first media stream is the video media stream and the second media stream is the audio media stream (Abstract).

As to dependent claim 20, Contois teaches the multimedia presentation includes a viewgraph stream, a video stream, an audio stream, and a text stream (col.12, lines 13-67).

As to dependent claim 21, Contois teaches the viewgraph stream is the first media stream having a portion used as an index into the video stream, the audio stream and the text stream (Fig. 6).

As to dependent claim 22, Contois teaches the text stream includes speaker notes, the video stream includes images of a speaker, the audio stream includes voice recordings of the speaker, and the viewgraph stream includes speaker slides (Fig. 6).

As to dependent claim 23, Contois teaches a portion of the viewgraphs are used to uniquely differentiate portions of a presentation by the speaker, the viewgraphs being used to synchronize and index into the other media streams (Fig. 6).

Art Unit: 2176

As to dependent claim 24, Contois teaches the software program is an electronic commerce shopping application, the first media stream and the second media stream reference a single media stream, the single media stream being an image stream of products for sale (col. 13, lines 51-57).

Independent claim 27 is directed to a computer program product for performing the method of claim 1, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

**Dependent claims 28 and 30-42** include the same limitations as in claims 2 and 4-16, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

**Dependent claims 44-49** include the same limitations as in claims 18-23, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

Independent claims 51& 53 are directed to a computer program product for performing the method of claim 25, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

**Dependent claims 52 & 54** include the same limitations as in claim 26, and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-28, 30-49 and 51-54 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Nowhere does Contois teach multimedia items that are duplicate of a portion of a multimedia presentation. (Remarks, page 17, the last paragraph)

Application/Control Number: 09/560,006 Page 10

Art Unit: 2176

In response, Applicant is arguing the limitations that were not previously claimed. The Examiner, however, believes that the combination of Contois, Arons, and Flickner meets the limitations as amended by Applicant.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-0092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am – 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on (703) 305-9792. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-5403 for regular communications and (703) 308-5403 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

### **Contact Information:**

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 746-7238.

Art Unit: 2176

OFFICIAL faxes must be signed and sent to (703) 872-9306. NON OFFICIAL faxes should be sent to (703) 746-7240.

All OFFICIAL faxes will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. The date of entry will correspond to the actual FAX reception date unless that date is a Saturday, Sunday, or a Federal Holiday within the District of Columbia, in which case the official date of receipt will be the next business day. The application file will be promptly forwarded to the Examiner unless the application file must be sent to another area of the Office, e.g., Finance Division for fee charging, etc.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist). All hand-delivered responses will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. Please do not hand deliver responses directly to the Examiner.

Maikhanh Nguyen January 23, 2004

> SANJIV SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER